

No. ID/YMN/55/82/25136.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Amar Nath and the management of the M/s. Manohar Industries E-24, Industrial Area, Yamunanagar, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1957, the Government of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Faridabad constituted,—vide Government Notification No. 11495-G-Lab/57/11245, dated 7th February, 1958, read with notification No. 5414-3 Lab-68/15254, dated 20th June, 1968, under section 7 of the said Act, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and workman for adjudication.

Whether the termination of service of Shri Amar Nath was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

G. MADHAVAN,
Joint Secretary to Government,
Haryana, Labour Department.

The 2nd June, 1982

No. ID/GGN/35/82/24879.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Gulab and the management of M/s. Sun Rise Rubber Industries, Pataudi Road, Gurgaon, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing,

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of Sub-Section (i) section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Faridabad constituted,—vide Government notification No. 11495-C-Lab/57/11245 dated the 7th February, 1958 read with notification No. 5414-3 Lab-68/15254 dated the 20th June, 1968 under section 7 of the said Act, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and workman for adjudication.

Whether the termination of service of Shri Gulab was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/GGN/37/82/24886.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Uttam Lal and the management of M/s. Clark Product Pvt. Ltd. Gurgaon, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of Sub-Section (i) section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Faridabad constituted,—vide Government notification No. 11495-G-Lab/57/11245 dated the 7th February, 1958 read with notification No. 5414-3 Lab-68/15254 dated the 20th June, 1968 under section 7 of the said Act, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and workman for adjudication.

Whether the termination of service of Shri Uttam Lal was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/FD/63/82/24893.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Lal Bachan Yadav and the management of M/s. Jotindra Steel and Tube Ltd., 14/3 Mathura Road, Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of Sub-Section (i) section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Faridabad constituted,—vide Government notification No. 11495-G-Lab/57/11245 dated the 7th February, 1958 read with notification No. 5414-3 Lab-68/15254 dated the 20th June, 1968 under the section 7 of the said Act, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and workman for adjudication.

Whether the termination of service of Shri Lal Bachan Yadav was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?